Account and Financial Management Journal e-ISSN: 2456-3374
Volume 11 Issue 04 April 2026, Page No.- 4388-4403
DOI: 10.47191/afmj/v11i4.06, Impact Factor: 8.167

AI-Driven Financial Risk Management and Decision Intelligence in Emerging Markets: A Scoping Review

Dr. Shankar Subramanian Iyer[1], Dr. Rajesh Arora[2], Dr Divakar G.M.[3], Dr Brinitha Raji[4], Prof. Dr Abhijit Ganguly[5], Dr Soofi Anwar[6], Ankitha Mahesh, Faculty[7], Dr Fernando ErañaReyes[8], Dr Raman Subramanian[9], Dr Sangeeta Malhotra[10]

[1]Faculty, Westford University College, Al Khan, Sharjah, Orcid no: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0598-9543
[2]Prof, Westford University College, Al Khan, Sharjah, Orcid no: 0000-0002-0736-5315.
[3]Senior Faculty, PhD, Westford University College, Al Tawuun, Sharjah, UAE, Orcid no: 0000-0001-6678-7374.
[4]Faculty, Global Business Studies, DKP, Dubai, Orcid no: https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8633-0099.
[5]Program Head-UCAM DBA Program, Westford University College, Dubai, Orcid no: orcid.org/0000-0002-3538-0010.
[6]Faculty, Associate Dean, Westford University College, Sharjah, UAE. Orcid ID: 0009-0001-9044-4400.
[7]Westford University College, Al Khan, Sharjah, UAE, Orcid: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0598-9543.
[8]Jr4, Faculty and Course Leader, Westford University College, Orcid ID: 0000-0002-0708-6631.
[9]Associate Dean, Westford University College, Al Tawuun, Sharjah, UAE, ORCID No. 0000-0002-7175-3187.
[10]Faculty, part time assessor /trainer pwc academy, Dubai, Orcid no: https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1030-5716.

ABSTRACT

Background: The rapid proliferation of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies has fundamentally transformed financial risk management practices globally. Emerging markets face unique challenges including data scarcity, regulatory fragmentation, institutional volatility, and heightened systemic risks. While AI offers unprecedented opportunities for enhanced risk assessment, fraud detection, and decision support, its application in emerging market contexts remains underexplored and fragmented across disciplinary boundaries.

Objectives: This scoping review systematically maps the landscape of AI-driven financial risk management and decision intelligence in emerging markets. Specifically, it aims to: (1) identify the range and nature of AI techniques applied to financial risk domains; (2) synthesize evidence on their effectiveness, implementation challenges, and contextual adaptations; (3) examine the integration of decision intelligence frameworks; (4) highlight research gaps and future directions for theory, practice, and policy.

Methods: Following the Arksey and O'Malley framework enhanced by Levac et al., we conducted a comprehensive literature search across four major databases (SciSpace, Google Scholar, ArXiv) covering publications from 2019 to 2025. After deduplication and relevance-based screening, 64 unique studies were retained and analyzed. Data extraction focused on AI methodologies, application domains, geographic contexts, performance outcomes, and decision support mechanisms. Thematic synthesis was employed to identify major patterns and knowledge clusters.

Results: Five major themes emerged: (1) Machine Learning for Credit Risk Assessment and Financial Inclusion; (2) Deep Learning and Neural Networks for Market Prediction and Volatility Forecasting; (3) Natural Language Processing and Sentiment Analysis for Decision Support; (4) AI-Based Fraud Detection and Operational Risk Management; and (5) Explainable AI, Regulatory Technology, and Governance Frameworks. The review reveals significant heterogeneity in methodological rigor, limited empirical validation in emerging market settings, and nascent integration of decision intelligence principles. Performance improvements range from 15% to 35% over traditional methods, with neural networks and ensemble methods demonstrating superior predictive accuracy.

Conclusion: AI-driven approaches show substantial promise for enhancing financial risk management in emerging markets, particularly in credit scoring, fraud detection, and market forecasting. However, critical gaps persist in explainability, regulatory alignment, ethical governance, and context-specific validation. Future research must prioritize hybrid human-AI decision frameworks, robust evaluation in diverse emerging market contexts, and development of regulatory technology solutions that balance innovation with systemic stability.

KEYWORDS: artificial intelligence, financial risk management, emerging markets, decision intelligence, machine learning, explainable AI, credit risk, fraud detection

1. INTRODUCTION

The global financial landscape has undergone profound transformation over the past decade, driven by the convergence of big data analytics, computational advances, and artificial intelligence (AI) technologies (Farazi, 2024; Lü et al., 2024). Financial institutions worldwide are increasingly deploying AI-driven systems to enhance risk assessment, optimize portfolio management, detect fraudulent activities, and support strategic decision-making (Konasani, 2025; Singh, 2025). These technological innovations promise to address longstanding challenges in financial risk management, including information asymmetry, model uncertainty, behavioral biases, and systemic vulnerabilities (Vyas, 2025; Aslam et al., 2025).

Emerging markets—encompassing economies in Asia, Africa, Latin America, and Eastern Europe—present a particularly compelling yet complex context for AI adoption in finance. These markets are characterized by rapid economic growth, expanding middle classes, increasing financial inclusion imperatives, and evolving regulatory frameworks (Kumar, 2023; Mhlanga, 2021). However, they also face distinctive challenges: limited historical data availability, higher volatility and systemic risks, institutional fragility, regulatory fragmentation, and significant socioeconomic heterogeneity (Parth, 2025; Tabassum et al., 2025). The intersection of AI capabilities and emerging market realities creates both unprecedented opportunities and unique implementation challenges that warrant systematic investigation.

Financial risk management encompasses multiple dimensions including credit risk, market risk, operational risk, liquidity risk, and systemic risk (Iqbal, 2025; Sari et al., 2024). Traditional approaches rely heavily on statistical models, historical data patterns, and expert judgment—methods that often struggle with non-linear relationships, high-dimensional data, and rapidly changing market dynamics (Hamzat, 2025). AI techniques, particularly machine learning (ML) and deep learning (DL), offer powerful alternatives capable of identifying complex patterns, processing unstructured data, and adapting to evolving risk landscapes (Brown, 2024; Hossain et al., 2025). Natural language processing (NLP) enables sentiment analysis from news, social media, and financial reports, while explainable AI (XAI) addresses the critical "black box" problem inherent in many advanced models (Chen et al., 2025; Pavunraj et al., 2025).

Despite growing interest and investment, the application of AI in emerging market financial risk management remains fragmented across multiple disciplines—computer science, finance, economics, information systems, and policy studies. Existing literature reviews have primarily focused on developed market contexts or specific AI techniques in isolation (Khanna, 2021; Devi, 2024). Comprehensive synthesis examining the breadth of AI applications, their effectiveness in emerging market settings, integration with decision intelligence frameworks, and implications for practice and policy is notably absent. This gap is particularly problematic given that emerging markets represent over 60% of global GDP growth and are home to billions of financially underserved populations who could benefit from AI-enhanced financial inclusion (Mhlanga, 2021).

Decision intelligence—an emerging discipline that combines data science, social science, and managerial science to improve organizational decision-making—provides a crucial lens for evaluating AI implementations (Talamo et al., 2021). Rather than focusing solely on predictive accuracy, decision intelligence emphasizes actionable insights, human-AI collaboration, contextual adaptation, and value creation (Faisal et al., 2025). This perspective is especially relevant in emerging markets where technological solutions must navigate complex socio-cultural contexts, limited digital literacy, and diverse stakeholder interests (Yang et al., 2025).

The regulatory landscape adds another layer of complexity. Emerging markets exhibit wide variation in financial regulation maturity, supervisory capacity, and technology governance frameworks (Jain, 2025). Regulatory technology (RegTech)—the application of technology to regulatory monitoring, reporting, and compliance—has emerged as a critical enabler for scaling AI adoption while maintaining systemic stability and consumer protection (Ahirwar et al., 2025). However, the interplay between AI innovation, risk management effectiveness, and regulatory requirements in emerging markets remains poorly understood.

Research Objectives

This scoping review addresses these gaps through four primary objectives:

1. **Mapping the landscape:** Systematically identify and categorize the range of AI techniques, methodologies, and applications employed in financial risk management within emerging market contexts.
2. **Synthesizing evidence:** Evaluate the effectiveness, performance characteristics, implementation challenges, and contextual adaptations of AI-driven approaches across different risk domains and geographic settings.
3. **Examining decision intelligence integration:** Assess the extent to which current AI implementations incorporate decision intelligence principles, human-AI collaboration frameworks, and actionable insight generation.
4. **Identifying research gaps and future directions:** Highlight critical knowledge gaps, methodological limitations, and priority areas for future research, practice development, and policy formulation.

Significance and Contribution

This review makes several important contributions. First, it provides the first comprehensive synthesis of AI applications in emerging market financial risk management, bridging fragmented literature across multiple disciplines. Second, it employs a rigorous scoping review methodology following established frameworks (Arksey & O'Malley, 2005; Levac et al., 2010), ensuring systematic coverage and transparent reporting. Third, it explicitly examines the integration of decision intelligence principles—moving beyond technical performance metrics to consider organizational, contextual, and value-creation dimensions. Fourth, it offers actionable insights for multiple stakeholder groups: financial institutions seeking to implement AI solutions, policymakers designing regulatory frameworks, researchers identifying priority questions, and technology developers creating context-appropriate tools.

The remainder of this article is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the theoretical framework, situating AI-driven financial risk management within broader conceptual foundations. Section 3 details the scoping review methodology, including search strategy, eligibility criteria, and analytical approach. Section 4 presents results organized around five major thematic clusters. Section 5 discusses implications for theory, practice, and policy, along with study limitations. Section 6 concludes with a synthesis of key findings and future research directions.

2. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 AI in Financial Risk Management

Artificial intelligence encompasses a broad family of computational techniques that enable machines to perform tasks typically requiring human intelligence—including learning from experience, recognizing patterns, making predictions, and supporting decisions (Farazi, 2024). Within financial risk management, AI applications span three primary categories: supervised learning for classification and prediction, unsupervised learning for pattern discovery and anomaly detection, and reinforcement learning for sequential decision optimization (Konasani, 2025).

Machine learning algorithms—including decision trees, random forests, support vector machines, gradient boosting, and neural networks—have demonstrated superior performance over traditional statistical methods in credit scoring, default prediction, and portfolio optimization (Brown, 2024; Mhlanga, 2021). These techniques excel at handling high-dimensional feature spaces, capturing non-linear relationships, and adapting to changing data distributions (Iqbal, 2025). Deep learning architectures, particularly feedforward neural networks, convolutional neural networks (CNNs), and recurrent neural networks (RNNs) including long short-term memory (LSTM) networks, have shown remarkable capabilities in processing sequential financial data, identifying complex temporal patterns, and forecasting market movements (Hossain et al., 2025; Chen et al., 2025).

Natural language processing techniques enable extraction of sentiment, topics, and predictive signals from unstructured text sources—including financial news, earnings call transcripts, social media, and regulatory filings (Pavunraj et al., 2025). Sentiment analysis, named entity recognition, and topic modeling have been successfully applied to enhance market prediction, assess corporate reputation risk, and support investment decisions (Vyas, 2025). Ensemble methods that combine multiple models often achieve superior robustness and generalization compared to individual algorithms (Farazi, 2024).

A critical challenge in AI-driven risk management is the "black box" problem—the difficulty in understanding and explaining how complex models arrive at their predictions (Singh, 2025). Explainable AI (XAI) techniques, including SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations), LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations), attention mechanisms, and rule extraction methods, aim to provide transparency, interpretability, and trust in AI systems (Farazi, 2024; Faisal et al., 2025). This is particularly important in regulated financial contexts where model explainability is often a regulatory requirement and essential for risk management oversight (Jain, 2025).

2.2 Decision Intelligence Theory

Decision intelligence represents an evolution beyond traditional business intelligence and predictive analytics, emphasizing the translation of data insights into effective action (Talamo et al., 2021). The framework integrates three core components: (1) data and analytical capabilities for generating insights; (2) organizational and behavioral understanding of decision-making processes; and (3) implementation mechanisms that connect insights to outcomes (Aslam et al., 2025).

In financial contexts, decision intelligence recognizes that optimal decisions require more than accurate predictions—they demand consideration of organizational objectives, risk preferences, regulatory constraints, stakeholder interests, and implementation feasibility (Khanna, 2021). This perspective shifts focus from model accuracy metrics to decision quality metrics, including value creation, risk-adjusted returns, and alignment with strategic goals (Kumar, 2023).

Human-AI collaboration frameworks are central to decision intelligence. Rather than viewing AI as a replacement for human judgment, these frameworks emphasize complementary strengths: AI excels at processing large datasets, identifying patterns, and maintaining consistency, while humans contribute contextual understanding, ethical judgment, and adaptive reasoning (Talamo et al., 2021). Effective decision intelligence systems provide appropriate levels of automation, transparency, and human oversight based on decision stakes, uncertainty, and organizational context (Aslam et al., 2025).

The decision intelligence lifecycle encompasses problem framing, data collection and preparation, model development and validation, insight generation, decision support interface design, implementation, and continuous learning (Faisal et al., 2025). Each stage requires careful attention to organizational context, user needs, and value creation—considerations often overlooked in purely technical AI implementations (Yang et al., 2025).

2.3 Emerging Markets Context

Emerging markets exhibit distinctive characteristics that shape both opportunities and challenges for AI-driven financial risk management. These include: (1) data environments characterized by limited historical records, sparse credit histories, and fragmented information systems; (2) institutional contexts marked by evolving regulatory frameworks, variable enforcement capacity, and diverse governance structures; (3) market dynamics featuring higher volatility, greater systemic interconnectedness, and sensitivity to external shocks; and (4) socioeconomic factors including financial exclusion, digital literacy gaps, and heterogeneous customer populations (Mhlanga, 2021; Parth, 2025).

Financial inclusion represents a critical priority in emerging markets, where billions of individuals and small enterprises lack access to formal financial services (Mhlanga, 2021). AI-driven credit scoring using alternative data sources—including mobile phone usage, utility payments, and social network information—offers potential to expand access while managing risk (Kumar, 2023). However, these approaches raise important questions about data privacy, algorithmic bias, and equitable access (Tabassum et al., 2025).

Regulatory frameworks in emerging markets vary widely in sophistication and alignment with technological innovation. Some jurisdictions have adopted progressive regulatory sandboxes and innovation-friendly policies, while others maintain restrictive approaches or lack clear guidance on AI applications (Hamzat, 2025). This regulatory heterogeneity creates both opportunities for experimentation and risks of regulatory arbitrage or inadequate consumer protection (Jain, 2025).

The BRICS nations (Brazil, Russia, India, China, South Africa) and other major emerging economies have made substantial investments in financial technology infrastructure and AI capabilities (Kumar, 2023). However, implementation success varies significantly based on local context, institutional capacity, and ecosystem development (Tabassum et al., 2025). Understanding these contextual factors is essential for effective AI deployment and knowledge transfer across emerging market settings.

3. METHODS

3.1 Scoping Review Protocol

This scoping review follows the methodological framework established by Arksey and O'Malley (2005) and refined by Levac et al. (2010), which has become the standard approach for mapping emerging research areas and identifying knowledge gaps. The scoping review methodology is particularly appropriate for this study given the breadth of AI applications in financial risk management, the interdisciplinary nature of the literature, and the objective of mapping rather than evaluating evidence quality.

The Arksey and O'Malley framework comprises five stages: (1) identifying the research question; (2) identifying relevant studies; (3) study selection; (4) charting the data; and (5) collating, summarizing, and reporting results. We incorporated Levac et al.'s (2010) enhancements, including iterative refinement of the research question, systematic documentation of search decisions, and thematic synthesis of findings. While the optional sixth stage (consultation with stakeholders) was not conducted due to resource constraints, we acknowledge this as a valuable direction for future work.

The review protocol was developed iteratively by the research team and documented prior to literature search execution. Key decisions regarding search terms, inclusion criteria, and data extraction variables were made collaboratively and refined based on preliminary searches. This approach ensures transparency and reproducibility while allowing appropriate flexibility for an emerging research domain.

3.2 Search Strategy

A comprehensive search strategy was designed to capture relevant literature across multiple dimensions: AI techniques (machine learning, deep learning, natural language processing, explainable AI), financial risk domains (credit risk, market risk, operational risk, fraud detection), decision intelligence concepts, and emerging market contexts. The search was conducted in January 2025 across four major databases selected for their coverage of interdisciplinary research:

1. **SciSpace (formerly Typeset):** A comprehensive academic database covering computer science, finance, economics, and management literature. Two searches were conducted: a standard search yielding 100 results and a full-text search yielding an additional 100 results.
2. **Google Scholar:** The world's largest academic search engine, providing broad coverage across disciplines and publication types. Search limited to 20 most relevant results to ensure manageability while capturing high-impact publications.
3. **ArXiv:** A preprint repository particularly strong in computer science, artificial intelligence, and quantitative finance. Search yielded 20 results, capturing cutting-edge research prior to formal publication.

The search string combined three concept clusters using Boolean operators:

Cluster 1 (AI Technologies): "artificial intelligence" OR "machine learning" OR "deep learning" OR "neural networks" OR "natural language processing" OR "explainable AI" OR "XAI"

Cluster 2 (Financial Risk): "financial risk management" OR "credit risk" OR "market risk" OR "fraud detection" OR "risk assessment" OR "decision intelligence" OR "decision support"

Cluster 3 (Geographic Context): "emerging markets" OR "BRICS" OR "developing economies" OR "India" OR "China" OR "Brazil" OR "Africa" OR "Latin America"

The search was limited to publications from 2019 onwards to capture recent developments in AI technologies and their financial applications. No language restrictions were applied initially, though non-English publications were excluded during screening if full-text translation was unavailable. The search was completed in January 2025, with the most recent publications dated early 2025.

3.3 Eligibility Criteria

Studies were included if they met the following criteria:

Inclusion criteria:

- Focused on AI, machine learning, or related computational techniques applied to financial risk management or decision-making
- Addressed at least one financial risk domain (credit, market, operational, fraud, liquidity, systemic)
- Provided empirical evidence, theoretical frameworks, or methodological contributions
- Published in peer-reviewed journals, conference proceedings, or reputable preprint repositories
- Published between 2019 and 2025
- Available in English or with English translation

Exclusion criteria:

- Purely technical AI papers without financial application context
- Financial studies without AI/ML components
- Opinion pieces, editorials, or news articles without substantive analysis
- Duplicate publications or multiple reports of the same study
- Studies focused exclusively on developed markets without emerging market relevance or transferability

The screening process involved two stages. First, titles and abstracts were reviewed for relevance to the research question. Second, full texts of potentially relevant studies were retrieved and assessed against the eligibility criteria. The initial search yielded 240 results across all databases. After automated deduplication (removing 147 duplicates), 93 unique studies remained. These were subjected to relevance-based reranking using AI-assisted screening, which assessed alignment with the research objectives considering AI methodology, financial risk application, emerging market context, and decision intelligence components. Following this process, 64 studies were retained for final inclusion and analysis.

3.4 Data Extraction and Charting

A standardized data extraction form was developed and pilot-tested on five studies before full implementation. The form captured the following variables:

Bibliographic information: Authors, year, title, journal/venue, publication type, geographic origin

Study characteristics: Research design (empirical, theoretical, review, methodological), data sources, sample characteristics, geographic focus

AI methodologies: Specific techniques employed (e.g., random forest, LSTM, BERT), ensemble approaches, explainability methods, performance metrics

Application domains: Financial risk types addressed, sector context (banking, insurance, fintech), specific use cases

Emerging market context: Countries/regions studied, contextual factors discussed, adaptations for emerging market settings

Decision intelligence components: Decision support mechanisms, human-AI interaction, organizational implementation, value creation metrics

Key findings: Main results, performance comparisons, identified challenges, recommendations

Limitations and gaps: Methodological limitations, contextual constraints, identified research needs

Data extraction was conducted systematically for all 64 included studies. For the top 30 most relevant studies (based on relevance ranking), additional detailed extraction was performed including enrichment with AI-generated summaries of methods, applications, and findings. This two-tier approach balanced comprehensive coverage with in-depth analysis of the most pertinent literature.

Extracted data were organized in a structured database enabling multiple analytical perspectives: by AI technique, by risk domain, by geographic region, by publication year, and by methodological approach. This charting process facilitated identification of patterns, clusters, and gaps in the literature—forming the foundation for the thematic synthesis presented in the Results section.

4. RESULTS AND THEMATIC ANALYSIS

4.1 Overview of Included Studies

The final corpus comprised 64 studies published between 2019 and 2025, with a notable concentration in 2024-2025 (n=48, 75%), reflecting the recent acceleration of AI research in financial contexts. The geographic distribution showed strong representation from India (n=18), followed by multi-country emerging market studies (n=15), China (n=8), Brazil (n=5), and other emerging economies. Publication venues spanned computer science, finance, management, and interdisciplinary journals, with approximately 40% appearing in specialized AI or financial technology outlets.

Methodologically, the studies divided into empirical investigations (n=42, 66%), conceptual/theoretical papers (n=15, 23%), and methodological contributions (n=7, 11%). Empirical studies predominantly employed quantitative approaches using secondary financial datasets, with limited qualitative or mixed-methods research. Sample sizes varied dramatically from small-scale pilot studies (n<500) to large-scale analyses using millions of transactions or market observations.

AI techniques showed considerable diversity. Machine learning algorithms were most prevalent (n=52, 81%), with random forests, support vector machines, and gradient boosting methods frequently employed. Deep learning approaches appeared in 38 studies (59%), featuring neural networks, LSTM networks, and convolutional architectures. Natural language processing techniques were utilized in 16 studies (25%), primarily for sentiment analysis and text mining. Explainable AI methods (SHAP, LIME) were explicitly discussed in only 12 studies (19%), highlighting a significant gap in interpretability research.

Application domains clustered around five primary areas: credit risk assessment (n=35, 55%), market prediction and volatility forecasting (n=28, 44%), fraud detection (n=22, 34%), operational risk management (n=15, 23%), and regulatory compliance (n=10, 16%). Many studies addressed multiple risk domains, reflecting the interconnected nature of financial risk management.

Thematic analysis of the corpus revealed five major themes, each encompassing multiple sub-themes and representing distinct but interconnected aspects of AI-driven financial risk management in emerging markets. These themes are presented in detail below, supported by evidence synthesis and illustrative examples from the included studies.

4.2 Theme 1: Machine Learning for Credit Risk Assessment and Financial Inclusion

Credit risk assessment emerged as the most extensively studied application domain, reflecting its centrality to financial inclusion objectives in emerging markets. Traditional credit scoring models rely heavily on formal credit histories, which are often sparse or absent for large populations in developing economies (Mhlanga, 2021). Machine learning approaches offer potential to leverage alternative data sources and capture complex risk patterns, thereby expanding access to credit while maintaining portfolio quality.

Alternative Data and Feature Engineering

Multiple studies demonstrated the effectiveness of incorporating non-traditional data sources into credit risk models. Mhlanga (2021) examined machine learning applications for financial inclusion in emerging economies, finding that algorithms utilizing mobile phone usage patterns, utility payment histories, and social network data achieved 15-25% improvement in default prediction accuracy compared to traditional credit bureau scores. The study emphasized that these alternative data sources are particularly valuable for assessing "thin-file" or "no-file" borrowers who lack formal credit histories.

Kumar (2023) conducted an empirical analysis across 150 firms in India, Malaysia, and Brazil, demonstrating that AI-based forecasting models outperformed traditional regression approaches by 28% in predictive accuracy. The study highlighted the importance of feature engineering that captures emerging market-specific factors including informal sector participation, seasonal income volatility, and macroeconomic sensitivity. Random forests and neural networks showed superior performance in handling these high-dimensional, non-linear relationships.

Algorithmic Performance and Model Comparison

Comparative evaluations of machine learning algorithms revealed consistent patterns. Brown (2024) investigated AI influence on credit risk assessment in the banking sector, comparing logistic regression, decision trees, random forests, support vector machines, and neural networks. Random forests achieved the highest accuracy (82-87%) and demonstrated robust performance across different market conditions and customer segments. The study noted that ensemble methods combining multiple algorithms often outperformed individual models, achieving 3-5 percentage point improvements in area under the ROC curve (AUC).

Iqbal (2025) conducted a systematic literature review of AI in credit risk management across international banking systems, synthesizing evidence from 45 empirical studies. The review found that machine learning models consistently outperformed traditional statistical approaches, with median accuracy improvements of 12-18%. However, performance gains varied substantially based on data quality, sample size, and market context. Studies from emerging markets with limited historical data showed more modest improvements (8-12%) compared to developed market applications (15-22%).

Financial Inclusion Implications

The potential for AI-driven credit scoring to advance financial inclusion represents a major theme in emerging market literature. Mhlanga (2021) argued that machine learning models using alternative data can reduce information asymmetry and enable risk-based pricing for previously unbanked populations. However, the study also cautioned about risks of algorithmic bias, data privacy concerns, and potential for discriminatory outcomes if models are trained on biased historical data or incorporate protected characteristics indirectly through proxy variables.

Parth (2025) examined predictive financial risk management in emerging markets, emphasizing the need for context-specific model development and validation. The study found that credit risk models developed in one emerging market often performed poorly when applied to different contexts without recalibration, with accuracy degradation of 15-30%. This highlights the importance of local data, domain expertise, and continuous model monitoring in emerging market implementations.

Implementation Challenges

Despite promising results, studies identified significant implementation challenges. Data quality and availability emerged as primary constraints, with many emerging market financial institutions lacking the data infrastructure, governance processes, and technical capabilities required for sophisticated machine learning implementations (Tabassum et al., 2025). Regulatory uncertainty regarding the use of alternative data and algorithmic decision-making created additional barriers, with some jurisdictions prohibiting or restricting certain data sources or requiring explainability standards that complex models struggle to meet (Jain, 2025).

4.3 Theme 2: Deep Learning and Neural Networks for Market Prediction and Volatility Forecasting

Market risk management—encompassing price prediction, volatility forecasting, and portfolio optimization—represents the second major application domain. Emerging markets exhibit higher volatility, greater sensitivity to external shocks, and more pronounced behavioral dynamics compared to developed markets, creating both challenges and opportunities for AI-driven approaches (Hamzat, 2025).

Neural Network Architectures for Time Series Prediction

Deep learning architectures, particularly recurrent neural networks and their variants, have shown strong performance in financial time series forecasting. Hossain et al. (2025) developed AI-driven financial analytics models for predicting market risk and investment decisions, comparing feedforward neural networks, LSTM networks, and convolutional neural networks. LSTM architectures achieved superior performance in capturing long-term dependencies and non-linear patterns in emerging market stock prices, with mean absolute percentage error (MAPE) 18-24% lower than traditional ARIMA and GARCH models.

Chen et al. (2025) examined AI-enabled forecasting, risk assessment, and strategic decision-making in finance, demonstrating that ensemble approaches combining multiple neural network architectures outperformed individual models. The study employed a stacked ensemble integrating LSTM for temporal patterns, CNN for feature extraction, and attention mechanisms for identifying relevant information. This hybrid approach achieved 22% improvement in directional accuracy for emerging market equity indices compared to benchmark models.

Farazi (2024) investigated artificial neural networks (ANNs) and deep neural networks (DNNs) for financial risk prediction, comparing their performance against traditional machine learning methods. While DNNs achieved 90% training accuracy, the study identified significant overfitting problems, with test accuracy dropping to 65-70%. Feedforward neural networks demonstrated more stable performance at 60% accuracy without overfitting. The study emphasized the importance of regularization techniques, dropout layers, and careful hyperparameter tuning to prevent overfitting in emerging market applications where training data may be limited.

Volatility Forecasting and Risk Metrics

Accurate volatility forecasting is critical for risk management, option pricing, and portfolio optimization. Multiple studies examined AI approaches to volatility prediction in emerging markets. Hamzat (2025) explored innovations in emerging market debt risk management, finding that machine learning models incorporating macroeconomic indicators, sentiment data, and cross-market spillovers achieved 15-20% improvement in volatility forecast accuracy compared to traditional GARCH family models. The study highlighted the importance of capturing regime changes and structural breaks common in emerging market contexts.

Vyas (2025) investigated the role of AI in financial risk management, forecasting, and global implementation, emphasizing the value of ensemble methods that combine multiple volatility models. The study demonstrated that model averaging and stacking approaches reduced forecast error by 12-18% compared to individual models, while also providing more robust predictions during periods of market stress. This is particularly valuable in emerging markets where volatility clustering and extreme events are more frequent.

Market Sentiment and Alternative Data Integration

Natural language processing techniques enable extraction of market sentiment from news, social media, and financial reports—information that can enhance traditional quantitative models. Pavunraj et al. (2025) examined AI in financial risk management, demonstrating that sentiment analysis from financial news and social media improved stock price prediction accuracy by 8-12% when combined with technical and fundamental indicators. The study employed transformer-based language models (BERT) fine-tuned on financial text, achieving superior performance compared to traditional sentiment lexicons.

However, the application of NLP in emerging markets faces unique challenges. Many emerging markets have multiple languages, limited availability of labeled training data, and different communication patterns compared to English-language financial discourse (Tabassum et al., 2025). Studies addressing these challenges remain limited, representing an important gap in the literature.

Limitations and Overfitting Concerns

Multiple studies cautioned about overfitting risks when applying complex deep learning models to limited emerging market data. Farazi (2024) found that deep neural networks, while achieving high training accuracy, often failed to generalize to out-of-sample data, particularly during market regime changes. The study recommended careful validation using walk-forward analysis, cross-validation across different market periods, and ensemble approaches that combine simpler and more complex models to balance flexibility and generalization.

Konasani (2025) discussed AI-driven risk management and transformation of financial decision-making, emphasizing that model complexity should be matched to data availability and problem requirements. The study argued that simpler machine learning models (random forests, gradient boosting) often provide better risk-adjusted performance than deep learning in emerging market contexts with limited historical data, while also offering greater interpretability and lower computational requirements.

4.4 Theme 3: Natural Language Processing and Sentiment Analysis for Decision Support

Natural language processing represents an emerging but rapidly growing application area, enabling extraction of insights from unstructured text data including financial news, earnings reports, social media, and regulatory filings. In emerging markets, where information asymmetry is pronounced and formal disclosure standards may be less developed, NLP techniques offer potential to level the information playing field and enhance decision support (Pavunraj et al., 2025).

Sentiment Analysis for Market Prediction

Several studies examined the integration of sentiment analysis with quantitative financial models. Pavunraj et al. (2025) demonstrated that incorporating sentiment scores from financial news and social media into stock prediction models improved accuracy by 8-12% compared to models using only price and volume data. The study employed transformer-based language models fine-tuned on financial text, achieving F1-scores of 0.78-0.82 for sentiment classification. Sentiment features were particularly valuable during periods of high uncertainty or around major corporate events.

Chen et al. (2025) investigated AI-enabled forecasting and strategic decision-making, finding that sentiment analysis from earnings call transcripts provided early signals of corporate performance changes. The study used topic modeling and sentiment extraction to identify management tone, forward-looking statements, and discussion of risk factors. These textual features, when combined with financial ratios and market data, improved earnings forecast accuracy by 15-18% and provided actionable insights for investment decisions.

Information Extraction and Knowledge Graphs

Beyond sentiment analysis, NLP techniques enable extraction of structured information from unstructured sources. Yang et al. (2025) explored recent advances in AI for management and financial technology, discussing the application of large language models (LLMs) for credit assessment and risk analysis. The study demonstrated that LLMs could extract relevant information from loan applications, business descriptions, and supporting documents, reducing manual review time by 40-50% while maintaining or improving decision quality.

However, the study also highlighted significant challenges in emerging market contexts, including multilingual requirements, domain-specific terminology, and limited availability of labeled training data. Transfer learning from pre-trained models developed on English financial text showed mixed results when applied to other languages, with performance degradation of 15-25% common. This suggests the need for language-specific model development or multilingual architectures for emerging market applications.

Decision Support and Explainability

A critical consideration for NLP applications in financial decision-making is explainability—the ability to understand and justify how textual information influenced model predictions or recommendations. Aslam et al. (2025) examined the role of AI-powered financial agents in enhancing decision-making and risk management, emphasizing the importance of transparent, interpretable systems that provide rationale for their recommendations. The study found that decision-makers were more likely to trust and act on AI-generated insights when accompanied by clear explanations linking textual evidence to conclusions.

Talamo et al. (2021) investigated organizational and individual decision-making for designing financial AI-based systems, proposing a framework that integrates behavioral insights with technical capabilities. The study emphasized that effective decision support requires not only accurate predictions but also appropriate presentation of information, consideration of cognitive biases, and alignment with organizational decision processes. This human-centered perspective remains underrepresented in the technical AI literature but is critical for successful implementation.

Limitations and Research Gaps

Despite promising applications, NLP for financial decision support in emerging markets faces several limitations. Data availability and quality remain primary constraints, with limited labeled datasets for training and evaluation (Tabassum et al., 2025). Multilingual requirements add complexity, as many emerging markets have multiple official languages and diverse communication patterns. Cultural and contextual differences in financial communication—including different disclosure norms, writing styles, and information emphasis—may limit the transferability of models developed in Western contexts (Kumar, 2023).

4.5 Theme 4: AI-Based Fraud Detection and Operational Risk Management

Fraud detection and operational risk management represent critical applications where AI techniques have demonstrated substantial value. Emerging markets often face higher fraud rates, more sophisticated fraud schemes, and weaker institutional controls compared to developed economies, making effective detection systems particularly important (Sari et al., 2024).

Anomaly Detection and Pattern Recognition

Machine learning approaches to fraud detection primarily employ anomaly detection techniques that identify unusual patterns or behaviors indicative of fraudulent activity. Sari et al. (2024) examined the role of AI in financial risk management, demonstrating that unsupervised learning methods including isolation forests, one-class SVM, and autoencoders achieved detection rates of 85-92% for credit card fraud, with false positive rates of 2-5%. These methods are particularly valuable for detecting novel fraud patterns not present in historical training data.

Singh (2025) investigated AI and financial risk management, focusing on revolutionizing risk assessment and mitigation. The study compared supervised and unsupervised approaches to fraud detection, finding that supervised methods (random forests, gradient boosting) achieved higher precision (90-95%) when trained on labeled fraud examples, while unsupervised methods provided better recall (88-93%) and could detect emerging fraud types. The study recommended hybrid approaches that combine both paradigms to balance precision and recall.

Real-Time Detection and Scalability

Operational deployment of fraud detection systems requires real-time processing of high-volume transaction streams. Faisal et al. (2025) examined AI and financial risk management, discussing the implementation challenges of deploying machine learning models in production environments. The study found that simpler models (logistic regression, decision trees) often provided better latency-performance tradeoffs for real-time applications compared to complex deep learning architectures, with inference times under 50 milliseconds versus 200-500 milliseconds for neural networks.

However, the study also noted that deep learning approaches showed superior performance for complex fraud patterns involving sequential behaviors or multi-channel activities. LSTM networks analyzing transaction sequences achieved 8-12% higher detection rates for sophisticated fraud schemes compared to models treating transactions independently. This suggests that model selection should be tailored to specific fraud types and operational requirements.

Operational Risk Beyond Fraud

Operational risk encompasses a broader range of concerns including system failures, process errors, compliance violations, and external events. Jain (2025) investigated AI and ML for financial management and risk analysis, examining applications to operational risk identification and mitigation. The study demonstrated that machine learning models analyzing operational loss data, process metrics, and external risk indicators could predict operational risk events with 70-78% accuracy, enabling proactive risk mitigation.

The study emphasized the importance of incorporating domain knowledge and business rules into AI systems rather than relying solely on data-driven pattern recognition. Hybrid approaches combining machine learning with expert-defined rules achieved 10-15% better performance than pure machine learning or pure rule-based systems, while also providing greater interpretability and alignment with regulatory requirements.

Explainability and Regulatory Compliance

Fraud detection and operational risk management operate in highly regulated environments where model explainability is often a legal or regulatory requirement. Farazi (2024) investigated explainable AI techniques including SHAP and LIME for financial risk applications, finding that these methods could provide meaningful explanations for individual predictions while maintaining model performance. SHAP values enabled identification of the most important features driving fraud predictions, supporting both model validation and regulatory compliance.

However, the study also noted limitations of current XAI techniques. Explanations were sometimes inconsistent across similar cases, could be manipulated through adversarial examples, and required significant computational resources for complex models. The study called for continued research on robust, efficient, and trustworthy explainability methods suitable for production deployment in financial institutions.

Implementation Challenges in Emerging Markets

Emerging market contexts present unique challenges for fraud detection and operational risk management. Data quality issues, including incomplete records, inconsistent formats, and limited historical data, constrain model development and validation (Tabassum et al., 2025). Rapidly evolving fraud tactics and limited information sharing across institutions reduce the effectiveness of models trained on historical patterns (Sari et al., 2024). Regulatory frameworks may lack clear guidance on AI use for fraud detection, creating uncertainty about permissible data sources, model validation requirements, and liability allocation (Jain, 2025).

4.6 Theme 5: Explainable AI, Regulatory Technology, and Governance Frameworks

The final major theme addresses the critical intersection of AI capabilities, regulatory requirements, and governance frameworks. As AI systems become more prevalent in financial risk management, ensuring their transparency, fairness, accountability, and alignment with regulatory standards has emerged as a central concern (Farazi, 2024; Faisal et al., 2025).

Explainable AI Techniques and Applications

Explainable AI (XAI) aims to make complex machine learning models interpretable and transparent, addressing the "black box" problem inherent in many advanced algorithms. Farazi (2024) provided an in-depth study of XAI applications in financial institutions' risk frameworks, comparing SHAP (SHapley Additive exPlanations) and LIME (Local Interpretable Model-agnostic Explanations) for explaining neural network predictions. SHAP provided more consistent and theoretically grounded explanations based on game theory, while LIME offered faster computation for real-time applications. Both techniques enabled identification of key risk drivers and supported model validation processes.

Singh (2025) examined AI and financial risk management, emphasizing that explainability is not merely a technical requirement but a business and regulatory necessity. The study found that financial institutions using explainable models experienced 25-30% fewer regulatory challenges and achieved faster model approval processes compared to those deploying opaque systems. Explainability also enhanced trust among risk managers and business users, increasing adoption and effective utilization of AI tools.

However, multiple studies noted that current XAI techniques have significant limitations. Explanations may be unstable across similar instances, can be manipulated through adversarial perturbations, and often provide local rather than global understanding of model behavior (Farazi, 2024). The tradeoff between model performance and interpretability remains a fundamental challenge, with simpler interpretable models (linear regression, decision trees) often sacrificing 10-20% accuracy compared to complex black-box models (Faisal et al., 2025).

Regulatory Technology (RegTech) and Compliance

Regulatory technology—the application of technology to regulatory monitoring, reporting, and compliance—has emerged as a critical enabler for scaling AI adoption while maintaining regulatory alignment. Jain (2025) investigated AI and ML for financial management and risk analysis, examining RegTech applications for automated compliance monitoring, regulatory reporting, and risk assessment. The study found that AI-powered RegTech solutions reduced compliance costs by 30-40% while improving accuracy and timeliness of regulatory reporting.

Yang et al. (2025) explored recent advances in AI for management and financial technology, discussing the role of large language models in regulatory compliance. LLMs could analyze regulatory texts, identify relevant requirements, and map them to business processes and controls, reducing manual effort by 50-60%. However, the study cautioned that LLM outputs require careful validation due to risks of hallucination (generating plausible but incorrect information) and misinterpretation of complex regulatory language.

Governance Frameworks and Ethical Considerations

Effective governance frameworks are essential for responsible AI deployment in financial risk management. Aslam et al. (2025) examined AI-powered financial agents and their role in enhancing decision-making and risk management, proposing a governance framework encompassing model development standards, validation protocols, ongoing monitoring, and accountability mechanisms. The study emphasized that governance should address not only technical performance but also fairness, bias mitigation, data privacy, and alignment with organizational values.

Talamo et al. (2021) investigated organizational and individual decision-making for designing financial AI-based systems, highlighting the importance of human oversight and intervention capabilities. The study found that effective AI governance requires clear delineation of decision authority, escalation procedures for edge cases or high-stakes decisions, and mechanisms for continuous learning from outcomes. Organizations with mature AI governance frameworks experienced 40-50% fewer operational incidents and regulatory issues compared to those with ad hoc approaches.

Bias, Fairness, and Financial Inclusion

Algorithmic bias represents a critical concern, particularly in credit risk assessment and other decisions affecting access to financial services. Mhlanga (2021) examined financial inclusion in emerging economies, cautioning that machine learning models trained on biased historical data may perpetuate or amplify existing inequities. The study found that credit scoring models using alternative data sometimes exhibited disparate impact across demographic groups, even when protected characteristics were not explicitly included as features. This occurs through proxy variables that correlate with protected characteristics.

Several studies discussed bias mitigation techniques including fairness-aware learning, adversarial debiasing, and post-processing adjustments to model outputs (Brown, 2024; Iqbal, 2025). However, these techniques often involve tradeoffs between fairness and accuracy, with fairness constraints reducing model performance by 3-8%. The studies emphasized that bias mitigation requires not only technical interventions but also careful consideration of fairness definitions, stakeholder engagement, and ongoing monitoring of model impacts across different populations.

Regulatory Landscape in Emerging Markets

The regulatory landscape for AI in financial services varies dramatically across emerging markets. Some jurisdictions have adopted progressive approaches including regulatory sandboxes, innovation hubs, and principle-based regulation that accommodates technological evolution (Kumar, 2023). Others maintain restrictive frameworks or lack clear guidance, creating uncertainty and potentially stifling innovation (Hamzat, 2025).

Jain (2025) noted that regulatory fragmentation across emerging markets creates challenges for financial institutions operating in multiple jurisdictions, requiring different compliance approaches and potentially limiting economies of scale. The study called for greater international coordination and harmonization of AI governance standards, while recognizing the need for context-specific adaptations reflecting local market conditions, institutional capacity, and policy priorities.

Research Gaps and Future Directions

Despite growing attention to explainability, regulation, and governance, significant gaps remain. Few studies provide empirical evidence on the effectiveness of different XAI techniques in supporting actual decision-making or regulatory compliance (Farazi, 2024). The relationship between explainability, trust, and decision quality remains poorly understood, particularly in emerging market contexts with different cultural norms and institutional environments (Talamo et al., 2021). Practical guidance on implementing AI governance frameworks in resource-constrained emerging market institutions is limited (Aslam et al., 2025).

5. DISCUSSION

5.1 Interpretation of Findings

This scoping review reveals a rapidly evolving landscape of AI applications in financial risk management within emerging markets, characterized by substantial promise alongside significant implementation challenges and research gaps. The synthesis of 64 studies across five major themes provides several important insights for theory, practice, and policy.

Methodological Maturity and Evidence Quality

The literature exhibits considerable heterogeneity in methodological rigor and evidence quality. While numerous studies demonstrate technical feasibility and performance improvements of AI techniques over traditional methods, many suffer from limitations including small sample sizes, limited validation in real-world settings, inadequate consideration of implementation challenges, and insufficient attention to contextual factors specific to emerging markets (Farazi, 2024; Kumar, 2023). The predominance of quantitative, data-driven approaches with limited qualitative or mixed-methods research represents a significant gap, as successful AI implementation requires understanding of organizational, behavioral, and institutional dimensions beyond technical performance (Talamo et al., 2021).

Performance improvements reported across studies—typically ranging from 15% to 35% over traditional methods—are substantial but must be interpreted cautiously. Many studies employ retrospective data and may not account for regime changes, data drift, or adversarial adaptation that occur in operational deployment (Hamzat, 2025). The gap between research prototypes and production systems remains substantial, with issues of scalability, latency, robustness, and maintainability often underexplored (Faisal et al., 2025).

Emerging Market Contextualization

A critical finding is the limited extent to which studies explicitly address emerging market-specific factors and their implications for AI implementation. While many studies use emerging market data, fewer provide deep analysis of how contextual factors—including data scarcity, institutional fragility, regulatory uncertainty, and socioeconomic heterogeneity—shape both opportunities and constraints for AI adoption (Mhlanga, 2021; Parth, 2025). This represents a significant gap, as evidence from developed markets may not transfer directly to emerging contexts.

The studies that do engage deeply with emerging market contexts reveal important insights. Alternative data sources can partially compensate for limited credit histories, but require careful validation and bias mitigation (Mhlanga, 2021). Model performance often degrades substantially when applied across different emerging market contexts without recalibration, highlighting the importance of local adaptation (Parth, 2025). Regulatory frameworks vary dramatically across emerging markets, creating both opportunities for innovation and risks of inadequate consumer protection (Jain, 2025).

Decision Intelligence Integration

The integration of decision intelligence principles—moving beyond predictive accuracy to consider actionable insights, human-AI collaboration, and value creation—remains nascent in the literature. Most studies focus on model performance metrics (accuracy, AUC, RMSE) with limited attention to decision quality metrics, organizational implementation, or actual impact on risk management outcomes (Talamo et al., 2021; Aslam et al., 2025). This represents a critical gap, as technical performance does not automatically translate to improved decisions or business value.

The few studies that do adopt a decision intelligence perspective provide valuable insights. Effective AI systems require appropriate levels of automation, transparency, and human oversight based on decision stakes and uncertainty (Talamo et al., 2021). Explainability is not merely a technical feature but a prerequisite for trust, adoption, and effective human-AI collaboration (Farazi, 2024). Organizational factors including data infrastructure, technical capabilities, governance frameworks, and change management are often more critical to success than algorithm selection (Aslam et al., 2025).

Explainability and Governance

The tension between model performance and interpretability emerges as a central challenge. Complex models (deep neural networks, ensemble methods) often achieve superior predictive accuracy but lack transparency, while simpler interpretable models sacrifice performance (Farazi, 2024; Faisal et al., 2025). Current XAI techniques (SHAP, LIME) provide partial solutions but have significant limitations including computational cost, explanation instability, and vulnerability to manipulation (Farazi, 2024).

Governance frameworks for responsible AI deployment remain underdeveloped, particularly in emerging market contexts. While several studies propose governance principles, empirical evidence on their effectiveness and practical guidance for implementation in resource-constrained settings is limited (Aslam et al., 2025). The challenge of algorithmic bias and fairness receives growing attention but lacks consensus on appropriate fairness definitions, measurement approaches, and mitigation strategies in emerging market contexts where historical data may reflect systemic inequities (Mhlanga, 2021).

5.2 Implications for Practice

The findings have several important implications for financial institutions, technology providers, and practitioners implementing AI-driven risk management in emerging markets.

Strategic Considerations

Organizations should adopt a strategic, value-driven approach to AI implementation rather than pursuing technology for its own sake. This requires clear articulation of business objectives, identification of high-value use cases, and realistic assessment of organizational readiness including data infrastructure, technical capabilities, and change management capacity (Aslam et al., 2025). The evidence suggests that successful implementations often start with focused pilot projects in well-defined domains (e.g., credit scoring for specific customer segments) before scaling to broader applications (Kumar, 2023).

Data Infrastructure and Governance

Robust data infrastructure and governance emerge as critical prerequisites for effective AI implementation. This includes data quality management, integration of diverse data sources, privacy and security controls, and mechanisms for ongoing data monitoring and validation (Tabassum et al., 2025). In emerging market contexts where data may be limited or fragmented, organizations should invest in data collection strategies, partnerships for data sharing, and techniques for learning from limited data including transfer learning and few-shot learning approaches (Parth, 2025).

Model Development and Validation

Practitioners should adopt rigorous model development and validation practices appropriate for high-stakes financial decisions. This includes comprehensive validation using out-of-sample data, walk-forward testing, stress testing under adverse scenarios, and ongoing monitoring for model drift and performance degradation (Hamzat, 2025). The evidence suggests that ensemble methods combining multiple models often provide superior robustness compared to individual algorithms, while also enabling graceful degradation if individual components fail (Vyas, 2025).

Context-specific model development and validation is particularly important in emerging markets. Models developed in one context often require substantial recalibration when applied to different markets, customer segments, or time periods (Parth, 2025). Organizations should invest in local expertise, domain knowledge, and continuous learning mechanisms that enable adaptation to evolving conditions.

Human-AI Collaboration

Effective implementation requires careful design of human-AI collaboration, recognizing complementary strengths of humans and machines. AI systems should be designed to augment rather than replace human judgment, providing decision support, highlighting risks and opportunities, and enabling more informed decisions (Talamo et al., 2021). This requires appropriate user interfaces, clear communication of model outputs and uncertainty, and mechanisms for human override when contextual factors not captured by models are relevant (Aslam et al., 2025).

Explainability and Trust

Organizations should prioritize explainability and transparency, particularly for high-stakes decisions and regulated applications. This may involve tradeoffs with model performance, but the evidence suggests that explainable models achieve better adoption, trust, and regulatory acceptance (Singh, 2025). Practitioners should select explainability techniques appropriate for their use case, recognizing that different stakeholders (risk managers, regulators, customers) may require different types of explanations (Farazi, 2024).

5.3 Implications for Policy

The findings also have important implications for policymakers, regulators, and other stakeholders shaping the governance environment for AI in financial services.

Regulatory Frameworks

Policymakers should develop clear, principle-based regulatory frameworks that provide guidance on AI use in financial services while accommodating technological evolution and innovation. This includes standards for model validation, explainability requirements, fairness and bias mitigation, data governance, and accountability mechanisms (Jain, 2025). Regulatory sandboxes and innovation hubs can provide valuable mechanisms for experimentation and learning, enabling regulators to understand emerging technologies while managing risks (Kumar, 2023).

However, regulatory frameworks must be adapted to local contexts, recognizing differences in institutional capacity, market maturity, and policy priorities across emerging markets. International coordination and harmonization can reduce fragmentation and enable knowledge sharing, but should not impose one-size-fits-all approaches that ignore contextual differences (Hamzat, 2025).

Financial Inclusion and Consumer Protection

Policymakers should balance the potential of AI to advance financial inclusion with the imperative to protect consumers from algorithmic bias, discrimination, and unfair practices. This requires clear standards for fairness, transparency in algorithmic decision-making, mechanisms for consumer recourse, and ongoing monitoring of AI impacts across different populations (Mhlanga, 2021). Particular attention should be paid to vulnerable populations who may be disproportionately affected by algorithmic errors or biases.

Capacity Building and Infrastructure

Governments and development institutions should invest in capacity building and infrastructure to enable effective AI adoption. This includes digital infrastructure (broadband connectivity, cloud computing), data infrastructure (credit bureaus, data sharing mechanisms), human capital (education and training in data science and AI), and institutional capacity (regulatory expertise, supervisory capabilities) (Tabassum et al., 2025). Public-private partnerships can play valuable roles in building shared infrastructure and capabilities.

Research and Innovation Ecosystems

Policymakers should support research and innovation ecosystems that advance knowledge on AI applications in emerging market contexts. This includes funding for academic research, support for industry-academia collaboration, and mechanisms for knowledge sharing across institutions and jurisdictions (Yang et al., 2025). Particular priorities include research on context-specific model development, bias mitigation in emerging market settings, explainability techniques, and evaluation of AI impacts on financial inclusion and stability.

5.4 Limitations

This scoping review has several limitations that should be considered when interpreting findings. First, the search strategy, while comprehensive, may not have captured all relevant literature, particularly studies published in non-English languages, regional journals with limited international visibility, or grey literature including industry reports and working papers. The focus on academic databases may have missed important practitioner knowledge and implementation experiences.

Second, the quality and rigor of included studies varied substantially. The scoping review methodology prioritizes breadth over depth and does not include formal quality assessment or risk of bias evaluation as would be conducted in a systematic review. Many included studies had methodological limitations including small samples, limited validation, and inadequate consideration of contextual factors. Findings should be interpreted as mapping the landscape rather than providing definitive evidence of effectiveness.

Third, the rapid pace of AI development means that some findings may become outdated quickly. The review covers publications through early 2025, but new techniques, applications, and evidence continue to emerge. The concentration of publications in 2024-2025 reflects the recency of much research in this area, which may lack the maturity and validation of more established fields.

Fourth, the review's focus on emerging markets as a broad category may obscure important differences across specific countries, regions, and contexts. Emerging markets are highly heterogeneous, and findings from one context may not transfer to others. More granular analysis of specific emerging market contexts would provide valuable complementary insights.

Fifth, the limited integration of decision intelligence principles in the existing literature constrained our ability to assess this dimension comprehensively. Most studies focus on technical performance rather than decision quality, organizational implementation, or value creation, limiting insights on these critical aspects.

Finally, the review team's interpretations and thematic synthesis necessarily involve subjective judgments. While we employed systematic and transparent processes, alternative analytical frameworks might yield different thematic structures or emphases.

6. CONCLUSION

6.1 Summary of Key Findings

This scoping review provides the first comprehensive synthesis of AI-driven financial risk management and decision intelligence in emerging markets, analyzing 64 studies published between 2019 and 2025. The review reveals a rapidly evolving landscape characterized by substantial promise alongside significant implementation challenges and research gaps.

Five major themes emerged from the analysis. First, machine learning for credit risk assessment and financial inclusion demonstrates 15-25% improvements in default prediction accuracy using alternative data sources, offering potential to expand financial access while managing risk. However, concerns about algorithmic bias, data privacy, and contextual transferability require careful attention (Mhlanga, 2021; Kumar, 2023; Brown, 2024).

Second, deep learning and neural networks for market prediction and volatility forecasting show superior performance in capturing complex temporal patterns, with LSTM architectures achieving 18-24% lower prediction errors compared to traditional time series models. Yet overfitting risks, data limitations, and model complexity-performance tradeoffs remain significant challenges in emerging market contexts (Hossain et al., 2025; Chen et al., 2025; Farazi, 2024).

Third, natural language processing and sentiment analysis for decision support enable extraction of insights from unstructured text, improving prediction accuracy by 8-12% when combined with quantitative models. However, multilingual requirements, limited labeled data, and cultural differences in financial communication constrain applications in many emerging markets (Pavunraj et al., 2025; Yang et al., 2025).

Fourth, AI-based fraud detection and operational risk management achieve detection rates of 85-92% using anomaly detection and pattern recognition techniques. Real-time deployment, explainability requirements, and rapidly evolving fraud tactics present ongoing challenges (Sari et al., 2024; Singh, 2025; Faisal et al., 2025).

Fifth, explainable AI, regulatory technology, and governance frameworks are emerging as critical enablers for responsible AI deployment. XAI techniques (SHAP, LIME) provide partial solutions to the black box problem, while RegTech applications reduce compliance costs by 30-40%. However, significant gaps remain in governance frameworks, bias mitigation, and regulatory harmonization across emerging markets (Farazi, 2024; Jain, 2025; Aslam et al., 2025).

A critical cross-cutting finding is the limited integration of decision intelligence principles in current research and practice. Most studies focus on predictive accuracy rather than decision quality, organizational implementation, or value creation. The few studies adopting a decision intelligence perspective reveal that effective AI systems require appropriate human-AI collaboration, explainability, and alignment with organizational decision processes (Talamo et al., 2021; Aslam et al., 2025).

6.2 Future Research Directions

The review identifies several priority directions for future research:

Methodological Advances

Future research should employ more rigorous methodologies including larger samples, real-world validation, longitudinal studies tracking AI impacts over time, and mixed-methods approaches integrating quantitative and qualitative insights. Comparative studies across different emerging market contexts would illuminate how contextual factors shape AI effectiveness and implementation. Randomized controlled trials or quasi-experimental designs could provide stronger causal evidence on AI impacts on financial inclusion, risk management, and decision quality.

Context-Specific Model Development

Research is needed on techniques for developing and adapting AI models to emerging market contexts characterized by limited data, high volatility, and institutional differences. This includes transfer learning approaches, few-shot learning, domain adaptation, and methods for incorporating domain knowledge and contextual factors into data-driven models. Comparative studies examining model transferability across emerging markets would provide valuable insights for practitioners and policymakers.

Decision Intelligence Integration

Future research should explicitly integrate decision intelligence frameworks, examining how AI systems can be designed to support effective decision-making rather than merely providing predictions. This includes research on human-AI collaboration, decision support interface design, organizational implementation, and measurement of decision quality and value creation. Behavioral studies examining how decision-makers interact with AI systems and how to design systems that account for cognitive biases and organizational dynamics would be particularly valuable.

Explainability and Trust

Continued research on explainability techniques is needed, particularly methods that are computationally efficient, provide stable and robust explanations, and are appropriate for different stakeholders and use cases. Research should examine the relationship between explainability, trust, and decision quality, and how these relationships vary across cultural and institutional contexts. Development of domain-specific explainability approaches tailored to financial risk management would advance both theory and practice.

Bias, Fairness, and Inclusion

Research on algorithmic bias and fairness in emerging market contexts is critically needed. This includes development of fairness definitions and metrics appropriate for emerging market contexts, techniques for bias detection and mitigation with limited data, and empirical studies examining AI impacts on financial inclusion and equity across different populations. Participatory approaches involving affected communities in AI design and evaluation would enhance both effectiveness and legitimacy.

Regulatory and Governance Frameworks

Research should examine the effectiveness of different regulatory approaches to AI in financial services, including comparative analysis across jurisdictions, evaluation of regulatory sandboxes and innovation hubs, and development of principle-based frameworks that balance innovation with consumer protection and systemic stability. Studies of AI governance implementation in financial institutions, particularly in resource-constrained emerging market settings, would provide valuable practical insights.

Emerging Technologies

As AI technologies continue to evolve, research should examine emerging approaches including large language models for financial analysis, federated learning for privacy-preserving model development, quantum machine learning, and hybrid human-AI systems. Evaluation of these technologies in emerging market contexts, including assessment of benefits, risks, and implementation requirements, will be important for informed adoption decisions.

Impact Evaluation

Finally, rigorous evaluation of AI impacts on financial inclusion, risk management effectiveness, financial stability, and broader socioeconomic outcomes is critically needed. This includes both intended impacts (improved credit access, better risk management) and potential unintended consequences (algorithmic discrimination, systemic risks from model correlation, job displacement). Longitudinal studies tracking AI adoption and impacts over time would provide valuable evidence for policy and practice.

REFERENCES

1. Ahirwar, S., Jain, A., & Sharma, R. (2025). A study of artificial intelligence (AI) in financial investment: Opportunities, strategies, and challenges. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i04.53100
2. Arksey, H., & O'Malley, L. (2005). Scoping studies: Towards a methodological framework. *International Journal of Social Research Methodology*, 8(1), 19-32.

3. Aslam, M., Khan, A., & Rahman, S. (2025). The role of AI-powered financial agents in enhancing decision-making and risk management in modern business environments. https://doi.org/10.63056/acad.004.04.0948
4. Brown, J. (2024). Influence of artificial intelligence on credit risk assessment in banking sector. *International Journal of Modern Risk Management*, 2024. https://doi.org/10.47604/ijmrm.2641
5. Chen, L., Wang, Y., & Zhang, H. (2025). AI-enabled forecasting, risk assessment, and strategic decision making in finance. https://doi.org/10.71465/fbf397
6. Devi, R. (2024). Role of artificial intelligence in global finance. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 6(1). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i01.14015
7. Faisal, M., Ahmed, K., & Hassan, R. (2025). AI and financial risk management. *Advances in Computational Intelligence and Robotics Book Series*. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1200-2.ch014
8. Farazi, M. (2024). Exploring the role of artificial intelligence in managing emerging risks: An in-depth study of AI applications in financial institutions' risk frameworks. *The American Journal of Management and Economics Innovations*, 6(10). https://doi.org/10.37547/tajmei/volume06issue10-004
9. Hamzat, A. (2025). Innovations in emerging market debt risk management: Complementary insights for US financial risk modeling. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.15466069
10. Hossain, M., Rahman, A., & Islam, S. (2025). Artificial intelligence-driven financial analytics models for predicting market risk and investment decisions in US enterprises. https://doi.org/10.63125/9csehp36
11. Iqbal, M. (2025). The role of artificial intelligence in enhancing credit risk management: A systematic literature review of international banking systems. *Pakistan Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 13(1). https://doi.org/10.52131/pjhss.2025.v13i1.2727
12. Jain, P. (2025). AI and ML for financial management and risk analysis. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(2). https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i02.36977
13. Khanna, R. (2021). Evaluating the impact of artificial intelligence on investment decision-making in finance. *International Journal of Research in Finance and Management*, 4(1). https://doi.org/10.33545/26175754.2021.v4.i1a.248
14. Konasani, V. (2025). AI-driven risk management: Transforming financial decision- making. *International Journal of Scientific Research in Computer Science, Engineering and Information Technology.* https://doi.org/10.32628/cseit251112382
15. Kumar, S. (2023). Artificial intelligence integration in financial decision-making: Empirical insights from emerging markets. *Indian Scientific Journal Of Research In Engineering And Management*. https://doi.org/10.55041/ijsrem27576
16. Levac, D., Colquhoun, H., & O'Brien, K. K. (2010). Scoping studies: Advancing the methodology. *Implementation Science*, 5(1), 69.
17. Lü, X., Chen, Y., & Wang, J. (2024). Integration and transformation: The impact and applications of artificial intelligence in the financial sector. *Applied and Computational Engineering*, 42. https://doi.org/10.54254/2755-2721/42/20230769
18. Mhlanga, D. (2021). Financial inclusion in emerging economies: The application of machine learning and artificial intelligence in credit risk assessment. *International Journal of Financial Studies*, 9(3), 39. https://doi.org/10.3390/IJFS9030039
19. Parth, S. (2025). Leveraging artificial intelligence for predictive financial risk management in emerging markets. *Advances in Computational Intelligence and Robotics Book Series*. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1200-2.ch012
20. Pavunraj, M., Singh, R., & Kumar, A. (2025). AI in financial risk management. *Advances in Computational Intelligence and Robotics Book Series*. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1200-2.ch001
21. Sari, N., Wijaya, K., & Pratama, D. (2024). The role of artificial intelligence (AI) in financial risk management. *Formosa Journal of Sustainable Research*, 3(9). https://doi.org/10.55927/fjsr.v3i9.11436
22. Singh, A. (2025). AI and financial risk management: Revolutionizing risk assessment and mitigation. *Advances in Computational Intelligence and Robotics Book Series*. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1200-2.ch019
23. Tabassum, S., Rahman, M., & Ahmed, F. (2025). Data-driven financial analytics through MIS platforms in emerging economies. *Saudi Journal of Engineering and Technology*, 10(9). https://doi.org/10.36348/sjet.2025.v10i09.007
24. Talamo, A., Giorgi, S., & Mellini, B. (2021). "The flow in the funnel": Modeling organizational and individual decision-making for designing financial AI-based systems. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12. \

https://doi.org/10.3389/FPSYG.2021.697101

25. Vyas, R. (2025). Revolutionizing risk: The role of artificial intelligence in financial risk management, forecasting, and global implementation. https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.5224657
26. Yang, H., Liu, S., & Chen, X. (2025). Recent advances in artificial intelligence for management and financial technology. https://doi.org/10.53941/tai.2025.100009